

Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. FILING DATE 585P6 BRADSHAW 08/247,003 05/20/94 EXAMINER 13M1/0302 PAPER NUMBER **ART UNIT** GREGORY J. NELSON NELSON & ROEDIGER 2_ 2623 N. 7TH STREET 1304 PHOENIX, AZ 85006 **DATE MAILED:** 03/02/95 This is a communication from the examiner in charge of your application. COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Responsive to communication filed on_____ This action is made final. This application has been examined ___month(s), ____ A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire ____3_ __ days from the date of this letter. Failure to respond within the period for response will cause the application to become abandoned. 35 U.S.C. 133 Part I THE FOLLOWING ATTACHMENT(S) ARE PART OF THIS ACTION: 2. Notice of Draftsman's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948. 1. Notice of References Cited by Examiner, PTO-892. 3. Notice of Art Cited by Applicant, PTO-1449. 4. Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152. 5. Information on How to Effect Drawing Changes, PTO-1474. Part II SUMMARY OF ACTION ___ are pending in the application. 1. X Claims__ Of the above, claims ____ are withdrawn from consideration. 3. Claims __ 1-20 4. X Claims _____ are relected. 5. Claims 6. Claims___ are subject to restriction or election requirement. 7. This application has been filed with informal drawings under 37 C.F.R. 1.85 which are acceptable for examination purposes. 8. Formal drawings are required in response to this Office action. . Under 37 C.F.R. 1.84 these drawings 9. The corrected or substitute drawings have been received on _ are acceptable; not acceptable (see explanation or Notice of Draftsman's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948). 10. The proposed additional or substitute sheet(s) of drawings, filed on _ _. has (have) been approved by the examiner; disapproved by the examiner (see explanation). 11. The proposed drawing correction, filed _____, has been approved; disapproved (see explanation). 12. Acknowledgement is made of the claim for priority under 35 U.S.C. 119. The certified copy has been received not been received been filed in parent application, serial no. _____; filed on _____; 13. Since this application apppears to be in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.

14. Other

-2-

Serial Number: 08/247,003

Art Unit: 1304

15. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. § 103 which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Subject matter developed by another person, which qualifies as prior art only under subsection (f) or (g) of section 102 of this title, shall not preclude patentability under this section where the subject matter and the claimed invention were, at the time the invention was made, owned by the same person or subject to an obligation of assignment to the same person.

16. Claims 1-4, 8-14, 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being unpatentable over Brink (US Patent 4,619,728) in view of Dresser (US Patent 3,309,983).

Brink discloses a laminating apparatus. As shown in Figs. 1 and 3, the apparatus includes laminating rollers 2 and 3 mounted in upper frame side plates 6 and lower frame side plates 7, respectively. These rollers are heated by internal cartridge elements 15 and 16, and are movable relative to each other by screw spindle member 21 which pivots upper frame plates 6 about pivot 8 relative to lower plates 7 as shown in Fig. 3.

Laminating films 4 and 5, supplied from rolls 13 and 14, are fed to laminating rollers 2 and 3 and assembled with an article 1 to

Serial Number: 08/247,003

Art Unit: 1304

be laminated. Rollers 2 and 3 are driven by central driving shaft 8 via gear wheels 9 and 10 and chains 11 and 12.

However, Brink does not disclose the mounting means or the feed tray as claimed by the applicant. Regarding this difference, the applicant is directed to the reference of Dresser.

Dresser discloses a continuous laminator. As shown in Figs. 1 and 3, the laminator comprises supply rolls 30 and 36, mounted to the frame via arms 18, 20, 38 and 40, and journal portions 26, 42 and 44 with slots therein. The laminator further comprises feed tray 16 for facilitating feed of individual articles to laminating rollers 62 and 92.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to have employed a feed tray and mounting system, as is known from Dresser, in the apparatus of Brink in order to facilitate feed of the individual articles and films to the laminating rollers because both references are directed to devices for laminating individual articles with films fed from supply rolls. Further, it is the examiner's position that biasing means for feed rolls are well known and would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to incorporate in the device above to hold the feed rolls in the mounting slots.

Art Unit: 1304

17. Claims 5, 7, 15 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being unpatentable over Brink in view of Dresser as described above in paragraph 16 in further view of Levitan (US Patent 3,737,359).

Levitan discloses a laminating machine comprising supply rolls 24 and 26 for supplying films to heated pressure roller assemblies 34 and 38. Tension adjusting assemblies 28 are employed to provide wrinkle-free lamination of the films. After lamination, tear blade assembly 46 is employed to cut the finished laminate as desired.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to have employed a tear blade assembly and film tensioning assemblies, as is known from Levitan, in the apparatus of Brink in order to provide wrinkle-free laminations and easy separation of individually laminated articles because both references are directed to devices for laminating individual articles with films fed from supply rolls.

18. Claims 6 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being unpatentable over Brink in view of Dresser as described above in paragraph 16 in further view of Humphries (US Patent 3,901,758).

Humphries discloses a laminator with offset rollers. As shown in Fig. 5, the axes of laminating rollers 52 and 54 are positioned along inclined line 106 in order to reduce or

Serial Number: 08/247,003

Art Unit: 1304

eliminate curl of the finished product (see column 1, lines 51-55; column 5, lines 31-44 and column 7, lines 56-58).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to have employed offset laminating rollers, as is known from Humphries, in the apparatus of Brink in order to reduce or eliminate curl of the finished products because both references are directed to devices for laminating films to both sides of articles.

19. Claims 16 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being unpatentable over Brink in view of Dresser in further view of Levitan as described above in paragraph 17 in further view of Thomas (US Patent 2,647,299).

Thomas discloses a roller construction. This construction comprises roll core 1 with internal spring 2 which biases against end plate or cap 4a in the manner claimed by the applicant. Cap or plate 4a has a bushing which forms a projection and facilitates mounting of the roll.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to have employed a spring biased roller construction, as is known from Thomas, in the apparatus of Brink in order to facilitate mounting of the rolls because both references are directed to devices with mounted rollers. Serial Number: 08/247,003

Art Unit: 1304

20. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:

Page 7, lines 9-10 describes element 106 as an adhesive coating while page 7, line 19 and page 8, line 21 describe element 106 as a web.

Page 8, line 1 describes element 130 as a feed tray while page 8, line 12 describe element 130 as indicia.

Appropriate correction is required.

- 21. Reference F is cited as prior art of interest.
- 22. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James Sells whose telephone number is (703) 308-2090. The examiner can normally be reached Monday-Friday from 8:30 AM to 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David A. Simmons, can be reached at (703) 308-1972. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 305-3601.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0651.

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
ART UNIT 134